

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,598	06/29/2001	Yoshinori Uchiyama	01USFP644-M.K.	6524
21254 7	590 02/04/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
8321 OLD CO	URTHOUSE ROAD			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			2675	

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/893,598	UCHIYAMA, YOSHINORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chanh Nguyen	2675		

•	LAUIIIIII	rat onit				
	Chanh Nguyen	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an ameno condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR one reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a			
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) was been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal or	s of the date of filing	the Notice of			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	, ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: 11-14.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 15-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I					
		Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner	y)W			

Art Unit: 2675

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations "greater", "equal to or greater than 2 and less than n" and "M is integer varying between 1 and n-k" to claims 1 and 15 require further consideration and/or search since they were not presented before.